

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/693,481	10/20/2000	Joel E. Short	42253/	8652	
826	7590 03/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N & BIRD LLP WANG, LIANG C			NG CHE A	
	MERICA PLAZA FRYON STREET, SUITE 4	000	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	E, NC 28280-4000		2155		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M
	09/693,481	SHORT ET AL	, /
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Liang-che Alex Wang	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  G from the mailing date of this communic  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C	October 2000.		
· _ ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	1		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·	·	
··· _			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examino		Aba Faranitha	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·	244 15
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	mice Action or form P1O-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Appl	ication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage	<b>}</b>
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rec	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	all Date. <u>5</u> . mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail I	Date 5

Art Unit: 2155

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-13 have been examined
- 2. Claims 14-27 are orally withdrawn by election of restriction on the phone on 3/5/2004.

## Paper Submitted

- 3. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file:
  - a. Information Disclosure Statements in paper number 4 as received on 04/30/2001 is considered.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following invention is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-13 drawn to network data transfer regulation, classified in class 709, subclass 232.
  - II. Claims 14-27 drawn to prioritized data routing, classified in class709, subclass 238.
- 5. Inventions I, II, are related as subcombination disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. The following case instants:

Invention II, sets a regulation of limiting a transfer rate of data transmission..

Invention III, determining a priority for transmitting the packet.

Art Unit: 2155

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Claims 14-27 are orally withdrawn by election of restriction on the phone on 3/5/2004.
- 8. Claims 14-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group of invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler, US Patent Number 5,793,978, hereinafter Fowler, in views of Jam et al., US Patent Number 5,787,483, hereinafter Jam.
- 11. Referring to claim 1, Fowler has taught a method for dynamic control of data transfer by a operator during an on-going network session (Col 1 lines 42-56), comprising:
  - a. receiving a data packet at a gateway device (figure 1 node 101 is responsible for receiving and routing the data packets, therefore is viewed as a gateway device);

Art Unit: 2155

- retrieving a operator selected bandwidth for the data packet (Col 1 lines 53-56,
   operator may specify a transfer rate and Col 1 lines 46-48, the broadcast queue is
   limited to the selected amount of communication bandwidth);
- determining if the transfer rate should be limited based on the operator selected bandwidth (Col 1 lines 49-52);
- d. limiting a transfer rate for data packet transmission based on the outcome of the determination process (Col 1 lines 46-48).

Fowler has not explicitly taught where the operator is a subscriber.

However, Jam has taught a communication system that is having a plurality of subscribers transferring and receiving data packets (frames) (Figure 1 and Col 5 lines 20-26.)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Fowler such that to have the operator to be ... the subscribers, because both Fowler and Jam has taught data packet communication with user sending or receiving data.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Fowler because operator is the person operating the system is know as an user, and a user of the service is usually called the subscriber of the service, having the user to subscribed to the services would allow the system to keep track of the subscriber actions and usage in order to improve the management of users in the system.

Art Unit: 2155

- 12. Referring to claim 2, Fowler as modified has taught receiving a data further comprises receiving a data packet from a subscriber (JAM, figure 1, data packet could be send through 107b from the subscribers 105s via fibre node 107.)
- 13. Referring to claim 3, Fowler as modified has further taught, identifying the subscriber by the media access control (MAC) address within the data packet (JAM, Col 14 lines 1-2.)
- 14. Referring to claim 4, Fowler has further taught wherein receiving a data packet further comprises receiving a data packet from a network (Fowler, Figure 1, packet 104 is sent between the network of items 101s.)
- 15. Referring to claim 5, Fowler as modified has further taught, identifying an intended subscriber recipient by the media access control (MAC) address within the data packet (Col 14 lines 1-2.)
- 16. Referring to claim 7, Fowler as modified has further taught wherein retrieving a subscriber selected bandwidth further comprises retrieving a subscriber selected bandwidth (Col 1 lines 52-54, operator selects bandwidth) for information being sent to a network and an independent subscriber selected bandwidth for information retrieved from a network (Col 1 lines 46-48.)
- 17. Referring to claim 8, Fowler as modified has taught wherein determining if the transfer rate for data packet transmission should be limited further comprises determining a delay period, if any, for transmitting the packet and wherein limiting a transfer rate for data packet transmission further comprises queuing the data packet for the delay period before transmitting the packet (Fowler, Col 1 lines 49-52, delay period is determined as the the

Art Unit: 2155

period of time that message is held until the selected amount of bandwidth become available.)

- 18. Referring to claim 9, Fowler as modified has further taught wherein determining a delay period further comprises determining a delay period based upon the byte size of the data packet (Fowler, Col 53-56, selected bandwidth is based on the packet bytes to be send in any one second period.)
- 19. Referring to claim 10, Fowler as modified has further taught wherein determining a delay period further comprises determining a delay period based upon the byte size and the time lapse of the most recently transmitted data packet that was associated with the subscriber (Fowler, Col 53-56, selected bandwidth is based on the packet bytes to be send in any one second period.)
- 20. Referring to claim 11, Fowler has taught about the delay period (Col 1 lines 48-52.) And it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art to have the maximum delay period of 2 seconds, because a delay time could be set to a limit of any time interval including a maximum of 2 seconds.
- 21. Referring to claim 13, Fowler as modified has taught wherein the subscriber network session is a wireless network session (Fowler, Col 2 lines 63-67, broadcasting is known to be done either wirely or wirelessly.)
- 22. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler, US Patent Number 5,793,978, hereinafter Fowler, in views of Jam et al., US Patent Number 5,787,483, hereinafter Jam, in further view of Salkewicz, US Patent Number 6,609,153, hereinafter Salkewicz. Fowler in view of Jam has taught an invention as described in

Art Unit: 2155

claim 1, including retrieving a subscriber selected bandwidth. Fowler as modified has not taught where the information is retrieved from the Authentication, Authorization and Accounting (AAA) subscriber management interface.

However, Salkewicz has taught the use of AAA to retrieve access control and identify the subscribers (Col 15 lines 13-27.)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Fowler in view of Jam such that to have information retrieved from an AAA subscriber management interface, because both Fowler as modified and Salkewicz has taught packet communication with network devices.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Fowler because having an AAA would allow a better security to be implemented in Fowler's system though the Authentication, Authorization and Accounting.

23. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler, US

Patent Number 5,793,978, hereinafter Fowler, in views of Jam et al., US Patent Number
5,787,483, hereinafter Jam, in further view of Barton, US Patent Number 6,310,886,
hereinafter Barton. Fowler as modified has not taught, queuing the data packet using a
ring buffer. However, Barton has taught the use of ring buffer for queuing the data
packet (Col 8 lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Fowler in view of Jam such that to have a

Art Unit: 2155

ring buffer for queuing the data packet, because both Fowler as modified and Barton has taught packet communication in a network environment.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Fowler because having the ring buffer algorithm used for queuing packets to be sent through is well known and recognized by the practitioners skilled in the art as taught by Barton (Col 7 line 67- Col 8 line 3.)

#### Conclusion

- 24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).
- 25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liang-che Alex Wang whose telephone number is (703) 305-3391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.
- 26. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on (703)308-6662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Art Unit: 2155

27. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Liang-che Alex Wang March 9, 2004

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER